Important feedback to apply in our project

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| week | | Date created | Created by | Modified date | Modifications | Modified by |
| 1 | 4/5/2019 | Ragab |  |  |  |
| 2 |  | | 11/5/2019 | Add the feedback of week 2 | Ragab |
| 3 |  | | 18/5/2019 | Add the change request of Mohamed hassan | Ragab |

Week 1:

* SRS :
* **technical** (system) requirements : this must be detailed , clear , not redundant and have an expected result and testable( can write a test scenario for it ) , tips : search online for an examples
* add the things that are out of scope
* modify the objective for the project so that it comply to “SMART” rule
* SIQ :
* Add a column “answered date” to the SIQ sheet
* Add a status type of each question ( answered , **satisfied** , not answered )
* CI list :
* the CI level of all CI list items should be “ baseline”
* add a folder and subfolder for all CI list items
* on github , remove the unnecessary branches

Week 2:

* Review the ERD (e.g. the data in the UI of the article page must be the same in the attributes of the article entity in the ERD), DFD and other designs to make sure it is consistent with the SRS and CRS.
* ask the customer about the missing requirements regarding the UI ( example : what is the UI of the web page of an article )
* organize the files on github into folders and sub folders
* ask the customer what is the max length of the article
* add “status” column in the PR sheet

Week 3:

* modify the “review article by admin “ page so that it has “edit” button, when the admin click on it , a new page is displayed , that new page is the same as the old “review article by admin “ , so that admin can change the article status ( to approved or to rejected )